

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,921	11/21/2003	Michael Shu-Huan Wang	TI-34402	2399
75	90 01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
Jackie Garner			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L	
Texas Instruments Incorporated			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P. O. Box 6554 Dallas, TX 75	•			TATER NOMBER
Danas, IX 73	203		3723 DATE MAILED: 01/12/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	İ
	10/718,921	WANG ET AL.	Vy.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Shantese L. McDonald	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTItute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this com  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	November 2003.		
<u></u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3,9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		1	
		140( ) (1) (0	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re	olication No	tage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)	,		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention Su	nmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/03.	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date  bring Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/718,921

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,4,7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 7, what is meant by, "a second drive device for bring said wafer", in lines 3 and 7, respectively.

In claims 4 and 10, it is unclear how the nozzle is held in a position by the piping on the center axis. Is the piping on the center axis?

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2,4-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tolles.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,921

Art Unit: 3723

Tolles teaches an apparatus for cleaning a polishing pad of a CMP polishing system which includes a first drive device coupled with the polishing pad, 100, and a second drive device for bringing the wafer, 20, into contact with the polishing pad, a dispenser, 40, located above the polishing pad, and adapted for dispensing a slurry, 32, to the polishing pad, an extension, which includes piping, and a plurality of nozzles, 44, 46, for delivering a wash solution, which is de-ionized water, to the polishing pad, the piping coupled to and extending from the dispenser toward a center axis of the pad, and along an area from the circumference of the pad to the center axis, the piping cooperable to direct a water spray to the pad at a ninety degree angle, (col. 8, lines 23-44, fig. 6).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huey and Koga et al were cited to show other examples of polishing pad cleaners.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone

Art Unit: 3723

number is (703) 308-8722. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.L.M. January 4, 2005 Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700

with the same of the